Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority

Planning Committee 26 November 2024

Late Consultations Summary Sheet
(Received by 22 November 2024)

PLEASE NOTE: this is a brief summary of the additional comments received since the agenda was published and Members are advised to view consultee/neighbour comments on the website via the links below (then scroll down to responses)

Item 5

1	R/27/145	**The Grove, Hudswell, RICHMOND, DL11 6BW**
	Applicant	See attached letter under Documents, Late comments on the portal, including a Track Changed critique of the officers' report.
	Planning Officer	Notwithstanding the comments on the report the officers' recommendation remains for refusal. The principal issues are in relation to the timing of the application with regard to emerging policies in the new Local Plan and; if weight could be given to the emerging plan, whether the proposal would represent the efficient use of land and deliver housing for a local need (local occupancy housing. Paragraph 48 of the National Planning Policy Framework is relevant. This states that local planning authorities may give weight to relevant policies in emerging plans according to: i) The stage of preparation of the emerging plan; ii) The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies; iii) The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the NPPF. In terms of i) the new Local Plan is still in its early stages, and is not due to be published until early 2025. Thus, in terms of point ii) the authority is not yet in a position to know the extent of unresolved objections. However, it seems likely that the majority of objections will relate to housing

		allocations and development limits, which are highly relevant to this application. Officers are therefore of the clear opinion that little, if any weight, can be given to emerging policies and that the application should therefore be determined in accordance with current Local Plan policies.
2	R/53/27J	**Land off B6160, Kidstones Gill Bridge, Bishopdale, DL8 3TG**
	Third party comments	Five additional objections have been received, raising similar concerns to previous objections. Two new points have been raised. One querying the ownership of the livestock on the estate and seeks evidence of ownership. The second refers to the applicant acquiring additional land which includes a rural worker at Dale Head Farm. One of the objections refers specifically to governance practices within Planning Committee.
	Applicant	Email responding to the additional queries that are outlined above.
	Planning Officer	With regards to the livestock ownership, this is as per section 3.2-3.6 of the Estate Management overview, and is unchanged since submission of the application. The beef suckler herd is owned by others but is based at, and managed from Kidstones. It is the care of the livestock that creates the functional need for a worker to be on site. The acquired land, does not alter the functional requirement for a worker to live at Kidstones Gill Bridge, as the two sites generate their own operational requirements. Dale Head Farm has been managed by a rural worker living there for many years, and that will continue to be the case.
3	E/03/41A	**Land south of the Village Hall, Maulds Meaburn, Penrith, CA10 3HN**
	Crosby Ravensworth Parish Council	The objection to the proposal stands.

Richard Graham
Head of Development Management